

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 16-866V
(not to be published)

PAOLA FREYRE, * Special Master Katherine E. Oler
Petitioner, *
v. *
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND * Filed: February 5, 2020
HUMAN SERVICES, *
Respondent. *

Diana Stadelnikas, Maglio Christopher and Toale, PA, Sarasota, FL, for Petitioner.

Althea Davis, U.S. Dep't of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS¹

On February 4, 2020, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a)(1)(B), the parties filed a joint stipulation stating that the above-captioned case should be dismissed. ECF No. 65.

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a)(3), the above-captioned case is hereby **dismissed without prejudice**. The Clerk of the Court is hereby instructed that a **judgment shall not enter** in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

Any questions regarding this Order may be directed to my law clerk, Sydney Lee, by telephone at 202-357-6347, or by email at Sydney_Lee@cfc.uscourts.gov.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Katherine E. Oler
Katherine E. Oler
Special Master

¹ Although this Decision has been formally designated “not to be published,” it will nevertheless be posted on the Court of Federal Claims’s website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 (2012). **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** As provided by 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B), however, the parties may object to the decision’s inclusion of certain kinds of confidential information. Specifically, under Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has fourteen days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the Decision in its present form will be available. *Id.*